



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

VS

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/817,926	03/27/2001	Toshiro Ishimura	14428	3952
7590 01/14/2008				
Paul J. Esatto, Jr. Scully, Scott, Murphy & Presser 400 Garden City Plaza Garden City, NY 11530				
			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, LUONG TRUNG	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2622	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/14/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/817,926	ISHIMURA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	LUONG T. NGUYEN	2622	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) LUONG T. NGUYEN. (3) _____.

(2) JOHN VODOPIA (Reg. No. 36,299). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 09 January 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: Independence claim 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Nishimura, Kobayashi and Kaku references.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Vodopia explained the operation of the endoscope in Nishimura and stated that it would not be obvious to combine Nishimura reference with Kobayashi and Kaku references. The Examiner disagrees, however, the Examiner will considers arguments when receive formal amendment.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



**LUONG T. NGUYEN
PATENT EXAMINER**

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required